

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On June 29, 2010, Examiner Brian Gangle and the undersigned representative, Mrs. Valerie Neymeyer-Tynkov, discussed the above-identified application by telephone. During the interview, claims 37, 46, 51-54, 56-57, 77-80 were discussed.

The Examiner suggested amending claim 37 to include an express requirement of 4 components (a-d), and to remove terms in component (d) that may be potentially problematic either in view of the prior art or under 35 USC 112. The Examiner also indicated a concern with the terms "derivative" and "derived" in the claims, and suggested removing such terms from the claims, including for instance claims 46, 56 and 57. The Examiner suggested canceling claims 51-54 to avoid potential issues with the prior art. The Examiner also suggested amending claims 77 and 80 in keeping with claim 37, and canceling claims 78-79 in view of the amendment to component (d) in their parent claim. The Examiner indicated that the withdrawn claims appear to be in condition for rejoinder.

Applicant and Applicant's representative thank the Examiner for his time and efforts in discussing the application.